



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,109	09/23/2003	Dale R. Peterson	BAY01 P-100	3117
28101	7590	06/22/2006	EXAMINER	
VAN DYKE, GARDNER, LINN AND BURKHART, LLP			SELF, SHELLEY M	
2851 CHARLEVOIX DRIVE, S.E.				
P.O. BOX 888695			ART UNIT	
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49588-8695			PAPER NUMBER	
			3725	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/669,109	PETERSON, DALE R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shelley Self	3725	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Shelley Self. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Timothy Flory. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 14 June 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 26 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Paumier (6,435,234), Bowling (6,021,825).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant stated that claim 26, lines 11-12 had a typographical error and should read, "said depth guide being positioned on said face of said grinding disc at different locations than said grinding teeth" as opposed to "...being positioned on said face of said grinding teeth at different locations..." Applicant stated that Bowling does not disclose/teach depth guides and that it cuts/operates with cutting along the radial face of the disc; while the invention is designed to cut with teeth attached to the face of the disc, thus face cutting. Applicant to amend claim 28 to include structure of the depth guides as they relate to the grinding disc and cutting teeth and operation of the disc for face cutting. Applicant to correct the typographical error in claim 26.